arXiv:1601.04146v1 [math.NT] 16 Jan 2016

MORE DIFFERENCES THAN MULTIPLE SUMS

IMRE Z. RUZSA

Abstract. We compare the size of the difference set $A-A$ to that of the set kA of k-fold sums. We show the existence of sets such that $|kA| < |A-A|^{\alpha_k}$ with $\alpha_k < 1$.

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to compare the size of the difference set $A-A$ and the size of

$$kA = A + \ldots + A,\ k \text{ times}$$

(we shall write $k \cdot A = \{ka : a \in A\}$ for a set of multiples).

Much has been written about the most natural case $k = 2$. Freiman and Pigaev [1] proved that $|2A|^{3/4} \le |A-A| \le |2A|^{4/3}$. These are still the best exponents known, though there is no reason to expect that either of them is exact. For other aspects and generalizations see the papers [9],[11],[5],[13] and the books [7],[14],[12].

We will show the existence of sets of integers such that $|kA| < |A-A|^{\alpha_k}$ with $\alpha_k < 1$, and of subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_q$, the set of residues modulo q for all sufficiently large q such that

$$A - A = \mathbb{Z}_q,\ |kA| < q^{\alpha_k}.$$

As far as I know, the only paper to deal with this problem is Haight's [3], who proved the existence, for arbitrary prescribed positive integers k and l, of a q and a set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_q$ such that $A - A = \mathbb{Z}_q$ and kA avoids l consecutive residues, and used this to show the existence of a set B of reals such that $B - B = \mathbb{R}$ but kB is of measure 0 for all k.

Clearly if $|kA| < q^{\alpha_k}$, then there will be gaps of size $> q^{1-\alpha_k}$, so the above result implies Haight's. On the other hand, it is not difficult to deduce our result from Haight's either, so the two are essentially equivalent. I also acknowledge that, while the details will be rather different, the main idea is taken from Haight's paper.

Haight's work remained rather unnoticed. A reason is that it was well ahead of its time, before additive combinatorics became a fashionable subject; it is not an easy reading either.

In Section 6 we shall consider the opposite question about the maximal possible size of kA compared to $A - A$.

2. The main results

We shall consider three ways of comparing sums and differences. For positive integers k and q, $q > 1$ write

$$F_k(q) = \min\{|kA| : A \subset \mathbb{Z}_q, A - A = \mathbb{Z}_q\},$$

$$G_k(q) = \min\big\{|kA| : A \subset \mathbb{Z}, A - A \supset \{a+1, \ldots, a+q\} \text{ for some } a\big\},$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11B13, 11B34.

Author was supported by ERC–AdG Grant No.321104 and Hungarian National Foundation for Scientific Research (OTKA), Grants No.109789 , and NK104183.

$$H_k(q) = \min\{|kA| : A \subset \mathbb{Z}, |A-A| \geq q\}.$$

Put

$$\alpha_k = \inf_{q \geq 2} \frac{\log G_k(q)}{\log q}.$$

Theorem 2.1.

$$\lim \frac{\log F_k(q)}{\log q} = \lim \frac{\log G_k(q)}{\log q} = \lim \frac{\log H_k(q)}{\log q}$$
$$= \inf_{q \geq 2} \frac{\log H_k(q)}{\log q} = \alpha_k.$$

One possible quantity is missing from the list.

Problem 2.2. Is

$$\inf_{q \geq 2} \frac{\log F_k(q)}{\log q} = \alpha_k \ ?$$

Theorem 2.3.

$$1 - 2^{-k} \leq \alpha_k < 1$$

for all k.

The exact value is not know except the obvious $\alpha_1 = 1/2$. The bound $\alpha_2 \geq 3/4$ is Freiman and Pigaev's [1]. The upper bound from the construction below will be of type 1-1/tower.

3. Properties of F, G, H

We list some properties of these functions that together will imply Theorem 2.1.

Lemma 3.1. *(Monotonicity.) If $q < q'$, then*

$$G_k(q) \leq G_k(q'),$$
$$H_k(q) \leq H_k(q').$$

(Obvious, but important.)

Problem 3.2. Is F_k monotonically increasing?

Conjecture 3.3. No. Probably it depends on the multiplicative structure of q, not just its size.

Lemma 3.4. *(Submultiplicativity.) Let $q = q_1 q_2$. We have*

$$F_k(q) \leq F_k(q_1) F_k(q_2) \text{ if } \gcd(q_1, q_2) = 1, \tag{3.1}$$

$$G_k(q) \leq G_k(q_1) G_k(q_2) \text{ always}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$H_k(q) \leq H_k(q_1) H_k(q_2) \text{ always}. \tag{3.3}$$

Proof. Let A_1, A_2 be sets that give the value of our function for q_1 and q_2, resp.

To see (3.1) notice that $\mathbb{Z}_q$ is isomorphic to the direct product $\mathbb{Z}_{q_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{q_2}$, and the set $A = A_1 \times A_2$ gives the bound for $F(q)$.

To see (3.2) take the set $A = A_1 + q_1 \cdot A_2$.

To see (3.3) take the set $A = A_1 + m \cdot A_2$ with an integer m chosen sufficiently large to avoid unwanted coincidences. □

Problem 3.5. Does $F_k(q) \le F_k(q_1)F_k(q_2)$ hold for not coprime integers?

Monotonicity and submultiplicavity imply that

$$\lim \frac{\log G_k(q)}{\log q} = \inf_{q\ge 2} \frac{\log G_k(q)}{\log q} = \alpha_k, \ \lim \frac{\log H_k(q)}{\log q} = \inf_{q\ge 2} \frac{\log H_k(q)}{\log q}.$$

To prove the other equalities in Theorem 2.1 we show that these functions have the same order of magnitude.

Lemma 3.6. *For all q we have*

$$F_k(q) \le G_k(q), \tag{3.4}$$

$$H_k(q) \le G_k(q), \tag{3.5}$$

$$G_k(q) \le G_k(2q+1) \le 2kF_k(q). \tag{3.6}$$

Proof. Inequalities (3.4) and (3.5) are evident.

To show (3.6), let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_q$ be a set such that $A - A = \mathbb{Z}_q$, $|kA| = F_k(q)$. Define

$$A' = \{n : -q < n \le q, \ n \bmod q \in A\}.$$

We claim that $A' - A'$ contains $2q+1$ consecutive integers, namely those in the interval $[-q, q]$. Indeed, if $-q \le m \le q$, then there are $x, y \in A'$, $1 \le x, y \le q$ such that

$$x - y \equiv m \pmod q.$$

Consequently one of $x - y$, $(x - q) - y$, $x - (y - q)$ will be equal to m, and all are elements of $A' - A'$. To estimate $|kA'|$ observe that

$$kA' \subset [-k(q-1), kq].$$

This interval can be covered by $2k$ intervals of length q, and in each our set has at mos $|kA|$ elements, hence $|kA'| \le 2k|kA|$. □

These results partially show Theorem 2.1, except for the quantities involving H_k. For H_k we shall give the following estimate.

Lemma 3.7.

$$F_k(q) \le c_k(\log q)^{k/2} H_k(q). \tag{3.7}$$

The proof of this lemma is relegated to Section 5. To prove our main result, Theorem 2.3, we shall work with F and G; the results about H are included because it is perhaps the most natural quantity to consider.

Problem 3.8. Is $F_k(q) \le c_k H_k(q)$? Is $H_k(q) \le F_k(q)$?

4. The construction

In this section we prove that $\alpha_k < 1$. We start by proving the following, seemingly weaker result.

Lemma 4.1. *For every positive integer k and positive ε there is a positive integer q and a set $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_q$ such that $A - A = \mathbb{Z}_q$, $|kA| < \varepsilon q$.*

Proof. We shall describe our set in the form

$$A = \{\varphi(x), x + \varphi(x) : x \in \mathbb{Z}_q\}$$

via a function $\varphi : \mathbb{Z}_q \to \mathbb{Z}_q$. This guarantees $A - A = \mathbb{Z}_q$.

The set kA is the collection of all elements of the form

$$\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_q} \Big(u(x)\varphi(x) + v(x)\big(x + \varphi(x)\big)\Big), \tag{4.1}$$

where u, v are nonnegative integer-valued functions on $\mathbb{Z}_q$, satisfying

$$\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_q} \big(u(x) + v(x)\big) = k.$$

We define the *level* of such a pair (u, v) of functions as

$$l(u, v) = \#\{x : u(x) + v(x) > 0\}.$$

Clearly $1 \le l(u, v) \le k$.

For a function φ and $1 \le m \le k$, let $S_m(\varphi)$ denote the set of elements that have a representation of the form (4.1) with $l(u, v) \le m$ (in particular, $S_k(\varphi) = kA$). The construction will proceed recursively. First we show how to find a modulus and a function such that $|S_1(\varphi)| < \delta q$. Next we show that, given two numbers δ, δ' such that $0 < \delta < \delta'$, a modulus and a function such that $|S_m(\varphi)| < \delta q$, we can find a modulus q' and a corresponding function φ' such that $|S_{m+1}(\varphi')| < \delta' q'$.

For the first step we will take a product of $k + 1$ different primes, $q = p_0 \dots p_k$ and identify $\mathbb{Z}_q$ with the direct product $\mathbb{Z}_{p_0} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_k}$. We shall write elements of $\mathbb{Z}_q$ as vectors, $\underline{x} = (x_0, \dots, x_k)$, $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$. A pair (u, v) of level 1 is supported by a single element $\underline{x}$; necessarily $v(\underline{x}) = k - u(\underline{x})$. Hence elements of $S_1(\varphi)$ are of the form

$$u(\underline{x})\varphi(\underline{x}) + \big(k - u(\underline{x})\big)\big(\underline{x} + \varphi(\underline{x})\big) = k\varphi(\underline{x}) + \big(k - u(\underline{x})\big)\underline{x}.$$

We will achieve that whenever $u(\underline{x}) = j$, the j'th coordinate of this sum will vanish. To this end we put

$$\varphi(x_0, \dots, x_k) = \left(-x_0, \frac{1-k}{k}x_1, \dots, \frac{j-k}{k}x_j, \dots, \frac{-1}{k}x_{k-1}, 0\right).$$

Here division in the j'th coordinate is meant modulo p_j, and in order that this make sense we assume $p_j > k$ for all j.

The number of elements where the j'th coordinate vanishes is exactly q/p_j, consequently we have

$$|S_1(\varphi)| \le q \sum \frac{1}{p_j} < \delta q$$

if we select primes so that $p_j > (k + 1)/\delta$.

For the inductive step, assume that for some $1 \le m < k$ we are given two numbers δ, δ' such that $0 < \delta < \delta'$, a modulus q and a function such that $|S_m(\varphi)| < \delta q$. We shall construct a modulus q' and a corresponding function φ' such that $|S_{m+1}(\varphi')| < \delta' q'$.

Let t be the number of pairs (u, v) of level $m + 1$ on $\mathbb{Z}_q$. Our new number will be of the form

$$q' = qp_1p_2 \dots p_t,$$

with distinct primes p_j, not dividing q. We identify $\mathbb{Z}_{q'}$ with the direct product $\mathbb{Z}_q \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_1} \times \ldots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_t}$. We shall write elements of $\mathbb{Z}_{q'}$ as vectors, $\underline{x} = (x_0, x_1 \ldots, x_t)$, $x_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_q$, $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$ for $i > 0$. The function φ' will also be defined coordinatewise, as

$$\varphi'(\underline{x}) = \big(\varphi_0(\underline{x}), \ldots, \varphi_t(\underline{x})\big).$$

We put $\varphi_0(\underline{x}) = \varphi(x_0)$.

Given a pair (u', v') on $\mathbb{Z}'_q$, we define its *shadow* on $\mathbb{Z}_q$ by the formula

$$u(x) = \sum_{x_1,\ldots,x_t} u'(x, x_1 \ldots, x_t),\ v(x) = \sum_{x_1,\ldots,x_t} v'(x, x_1 \ldots, x_t).$$

Clearly the level of (u, v) does not exceed the level of (u', v').

Elements of $S_{m+1}(\varphi')$ are of the form

$$\sum_{\underline{x}\in\mathbb{Z}'_q} \Big(u'(\underline{x})\varphi'(\underline{x}) + v'(\underline{x})\big(\underline{x} + \varphi'(\underline{x})\big)\Big), \tag{4.2}$$

with pairs (u', v') of level at most $m + 1$. The 0'th coordinate of this sum is exactly

$$\sum_{x\in\mathbb{Z}_q} \Big(u(x)\varphi(x) + v(x)\big(x + \varphi(x)\big)\Big),$$

where (u, v) is the shadow of (u', v'). In particular, if the level of (u, v) is at most m, then the 0'th coordinate is an element of $S_m(\varphi)$.

Now we consider the case when the level of (u, v), as well as of (u', v'), is $m + 1$. Let $(u_1, v_1), \ldots, (u_t, v_t)$ be a list of all pairs (u, v) of level $m + 1$. We shall achieve that the j'th coordinate vanish whenever the shadow of (u', v') is (u_j, v_j).

Observe that the level of a pair (u', v') and that of its shadow can be equal only if there is no coincidence among the 0'th coordinate of those elements for which $u'(\underline{x})+v'(\underline{x}) > 0$; the sum defining the shadow has always at most one nonzero term. Consequently for all $\underline{x} = (x_0, x_1 \ldots, x_t)$ either $\big(u'(\underline{x}), v'(\underline{x})\big) = (0, 0)$ or $\big(u'(\underline{x}), v'(\underline{x})\big) = \big(u_j(x_0), v_j(x_0)\big)$. Thus all nonzero terms in the sum (4.2) are of the form

$$u_j(x_0)\varphi'(\underline{x}) + v_j(x_0)\big(\underline{x} + \varphi'(\underline{x})\big).$$

The j'th coordinate of this summand is

$$u_j(x_0)\varphi_j(\underline{x}) + v_j(x_0)\big(x_j + \varphi_j(\underline{x})\big).$$

This will vanish if we define

$$\varphi_j(\underline{x}) = \begin{cases} -\frac{v_j(x_0)}{u_j(x_0)+v_j(x_0)} & \text{if } u_j(x_0) + v_j(x_0) > 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } u_j(x_0) + v_j(x_0) = 0, \end{cases}$$

the division being understood modulo p_j.

This construction ensures that either the 0'th coordinate is in $S_m(\varphi)$ or another coordinate vanishes. Hence

$$\frac{|S_{m+1}(\varphi')|}{q'} \le \frac{|S_m(\varphi)|}{q} + \sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{p_j} < \delta + \sum_{j=1}^{t} \frac{1}{p_j} < \delta',$$

if we choose primes satisfying $p_j > t/(\delta' - \delta)$.

To prove the Lemma we start with $\delta = \varepsilon/(k + 1)$ and proceed by finding moduli and functions with $|S_m(\varphi)|/q < (m + 1)\varepsilon/(k + 1)$. After k steps we have the desired bound for the size of $S_k(\varphi) = kA$. □

Remark 1. For the initial step I know several constructions, some of which yield smaller values of q; I chose this one because it anticipates the inductive step.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. By virtue of Theorem 2.1, to prove the upper bound it is sufficient to find *a single* q such that $G_k(q) < q$; and by inequality (3.6), it suffices to find a single q such that $F_k(q) < q/k$, which is the previous lemma with $\varepsilon = 1/k$.

To demonstrate the lower bound we show that for any finite set in any group we have

$$|kA| \ge |A - A|^{1-2^{-k}}.$$

We use induction on k. The case $k = 1$ is evident. To go from k to $k + 1$ we use the inequality [9] (see also [7],[14],[12])

$$|X||Y - Z| \le |Y - X||Y - Z|$$

with $Y = Z = A$, $X = -kA$. □

5. From integers to residues

In this section we prove Lemma 3.7.

We start with an arbitrary set A of integers, and in several steps we turn it into a set of residues modulo q. Our tool will be the following projection-like transformation, which depends on a real parameter t:

$$\pi_t(n) = [q\{tn\}].$$

(We suppress the parameter q, which will be fixed through the section.) The values of π_t are integers in $[1, q)$, and π_t has a quasi-additivity property:

$$\pi_t(x + y) = \pi_t(x) + \pi_t(y) + r,\ r \in \{0, 1, -q, 1 - q\}.$$

Lemma 5.1. *Let S be a set of integers, $|S| = q$. There is a $t \in (0, 1)$ such that*

$$|\pi_t(S)| > q/3.$$

Proof. We select $t \in [0, 1)$ randomly with uniform distribution. Let z be the number of pairs $m, n \in S$ such that $\pi_t(m) = \pi_t(n)$. For a fixed pair (m, n) the probability that $\pi_t(m) = \pi_t(n)$ is 1 if $m = n$, and at most $2/q$ if $m \ne n$. To see the latter claim note that if $\pi_t(m) = \pi_t(n)$, then

$$|\{tm\} - \{tn\}| < 1/q,$$

hence $\|t(m - n)\| < 2/q$, which has probability $2/q$. Hence the expectation of z is

$$\le q + \frac{2}{q} q(q - 1) < 3q.$$

Select any t for which $z < 3q$. For an integer $j \in [0, q)$ let r_j be the number of integers $n \in S$ such that $\pi_t(n) = j$. The inequality of arithmetic and square means yields

$$z = \sum r_j^2 \ge \frac{(\sum r_j)^2}{|\pi_t(S)|} = \frac{q^2}{|\pi_t(S)|},$$

hence $|\pi_t(S)| \ge q^2/z > q/3$ as wanted. □

Lemma 5.2. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{Z}_q$ be a nonempty set, $|A| \geq tq$, $0 < t < 1$, and let k be a positive integer. There are sets $B_1, \ldots, B_k \subset \mathbb{Z}_q$ such that*

$$A + B_1 + \ldots + B_k = \mathbb{Z}_q$$

and

$$|B_i| \leq m = \left\lceil \left(\frac{\log q}{t} \right)^{1/k} \right\rceil .$$

Proof. Select B_1 randomly, with equal probability from all $\binom{q}{m}$ m-element subsets of $\mathbb{Z}_q$. The probability that an element of $\mathbb{Z}_q$ is not in $A + B_1$ is

$$\binom{q - |A|}{m} \Big/ \binom{q}{m} < (1-t)^m.$$

Hence the expectation of $|\mathbb{Z}_q \setminus (A + B_1)|$ is $< (1-t)^m q$. Fix B_1 so that

$$|\mathbb{Z}_q \setminus (A + B_1)| < (1-t)^m q.$$

Now repeat the process with $A + B_1$ in the place of A to find B_2, and so on. After k steps the number of elements outside $A + B_1 + \ldots + B_k$ will be

$$< (1-t)^{m^k} q < e^{-tm^k} q < 1.$$

□

The case $k = 1$ of this lemma is a theorem of Lorentz [6] (see also [4]).

Proof of Lemma 3.7. Let A be a set of integers such that $|A - A| \geq q$ and $|kA| = H_k(q)$. Put $A_1 = \pi_t(A)$ with a number t such that $|\pi_t(A - A)| > q/3$. The quasi-additivity property implies that

$$\pi_t(A - A) \subset (A_1 - A_1) + \{0, -1, q, q-1\}.$$

Let $A_2 \subset \mathbb{Z}_q$ be the image of A_1. The above inclusion shows that $(A_2 - A_2) + \{0, -1\}$ contains the image of $\pi_t(A - A)$, hence

$$|A_2 - A_2| \geq |\pi_t(A - A)|/2 > q/6.$$

Similarly kA_2 is contained in the image of $\pi_t(kA) + \{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$, hence

$$|kA_2| \leq k|kA| = kH_k(q).$$

By Lemma 5.2, applied to the set A_2, there are sets $B_1, B_2 \subset \mathbb{Z}_q$ such that $(A_2 - A_2) + B_1 + B_2 = \mathbb{Z}_q$ and $|B_i| < c\sqrt{\log q}$. Our set will be $A_3 = A_2 + (B_1 \cup -B_2)$. This set satisfies $A_3 - A_3 = \mathbb{Z}_q$ and

$$|kA_3| \leq |kA_2||k(B_1 \cup -B_2)| < (c \log q)^{k/2} |kA_2| \leq k(c \log q)^{k/2} H_k(q).$$

□

6. The other side

In this section we consider the question about the maximal possible size of kA compared to $A-A$. Most results and proofs are completely analogous, and we shall not give details.

For positive integers k and q, $q>1$ write

$$f_k(q)=\min\{|A-A| : A\subset\mathbb{Z}_q, kA=\mathbb{Z}_q\},$$
$$g_k(q)=\min\big\{|A-A| : A\subset\mathbb{Z}, kA\supset\{a+1,\dots,a+q\} \text{ for some } a\big\},$$
$$h_k(q)=\min\{|A-A| : A\subset\mathbb{Z}, |kA|\ge q\}.$$

Put

$$\beta_k=\inf_{q\ge2}\frac{\log g_k(q)}{\log q}.$$

Theorem 6.1.

$$\lim\frac{\log f_k(q)}{\log q}=\lim\frac{\log g_k(q)}{\log q}=\lim\frac{\log h_k(q)}{\log q}$$
$$=\inf_{q\ge2}\frac{\log h_k(q)}{\log q}=\beta_k.$$

Again, I cannot decide whether

$$\inf_{q\ge2}\frac{\log f_k(q)}{\log q}=\beta_k.$$

The proof of this result proceeds through analogues of Lemmas 3.1, 3.4, 3.6, 3.7.

Lemma 6.2. *(Monotonicity.) If $q<q'$, then*

$$g_k(q)\le g_k(q'),$$
$$h_k(q)\le h_k(q').$$

Problem 6.3. Is f_k monotonically increasing?

Conjecture 6.4. No. Probably it depends on the multiplicative structure of q, not just its size.

Lemma 6.5. *(Submultiplicativity.) Let $q=q_1q_2$. We have*

$$f_k(q)\le f_k(q_1)f_k(q_2) \text{ if } \gcd(q_1,q_2)=1, \tag{6.1}$$

$$g_k(q)\le g_k(q_1)g_k(q_2) \text{ always,} \tag{6.2}$$

$$h_k(q)\le h_k(q_1)h_k(q_2) \text{ always.} \tag{6.3}$$

Lemma 6.6. *For all q we have*

$$f_k(q)\le g_k(q), \tag{6.4}$$

$$h_k(q)\le g_k(q), \tag{6.5}$$

$$g_k(q)\le g_k(2q+1)\le 4f_k(q). \tag{6.6}$$

Lemma 6.7.

$$f_k(q)\le c_k(\log q)^{2/k}h_k(q). \tag{6.7}$$

Problem 6.8. Is $f_k(q) \le c_k h_k(q)$? Is $h_k(q) \le f_k(q)$?

Theorem 6.9. **(a):**

$$\frac{2}{k} - \frac{1}{k^2} \le \beta_k \le \frac{2}{k}$$

for all k.

(b): *$k\beta_k$ is increasing.*

Proof. For the lower estimate we show that

$$|kA| < |A - A|^{k^2/(2k-1)}$$

for every finite set in any commutative group. Write $|A| = n$, $|A - A| = tn$. By a Plünnecke-type inequality (see e.g. [8],[10],[7],[14],[13]) we get

$$|kA| \le t^k n, \tag{6.8}$$

and obviously

$$|kA| < n^k. \tag{6.9}$$

By multiplying the k'th power of (6.8) and $(k-1)$'th power of (6.9) and taking k^2'th root we get the desired bound.

For the upper estimate take a generic set without any coincidence among the k-fold sums.

Claim (b) follows from the fact that $|kA|^{1/k}$ is a decreasing function of k, see [2]. □

Claim (b) above leaves two possibilities: either always $\beta_k < 2/k$, or $\beta_k = 2/k$ after a point.

Problem 6.10. Is always $\beta_k < 2/k$?

Conjecture 6.11. Yes.

As far as I know, the only known case is $k = 2$. I think the case $k = 4$ is particularly interesting:

Problem 6.12. Is always $|4A| \le |A - A|^2$?

References

1. G. Freiman and V. P. Pigaev, *The relation between the invariants R and T (Russian)*, Kalinin. Gos. Univ. Moscow (1973), 172–174.
2. Katalin Gyarmati, M. Matolcsi, and I. Z. Ruzsa, *A superadditivity and submultiplicativity property for cardinalities of sumsets*, Combinatorica **30** (2010), 163–174.
3. J. A. Haight, *Difference covers which have small k-sums for any k*, Mathematika **20** (1973), 109–118.
4. H. Halberstam and K. F. Roth, *Sequences*, Clarendon, London, 1966, 2nd ed. Springer, 1983.
5. F. Hennecart, G. Robert, and A. Yudin, *On the volume of sums and differences*, Structure theory of set addition, Astérisque, vol. 258, Soc. Mat. France, 1999, pp. 173–178.
6. G. G. Lorentz, *On a problem of additive number theory*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1954), 838–841.
7. M. B. Nathanson, *Additive number theory: Inverse problems and the geometry of sumsets*, Graduate texts in Math., vol. 165, Springer, New York, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1996.
8. H. Plünnecke, *Eine zahlentheoretische anwendung der graphtheorie*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **243** (1970), 171–183.
9. I. Z. Ruzsa, *On the cardinality of $A + A$ and $A - A$*, Combinatorics (Keszthely 1976), Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai, vol. 18, North-Holland – Bolyai Társulat, Budapest, 1978, pp. 933–938.
10. _____, *An application of graph theory to additive number theory*, Scientia, Ser. A **3** (1989), 97–109.

11. _____, *On the number of sums and differences*, Acta Math. Sci. Hungar **59** (1992), 439–447.
12. _____, *Many differences, few sums*, Ann. Univ. Eötvös **51** (2008), 27–38.
13. _____, *Sumsets and structure*, Combinatorial number theory and additive group theory, Advanced courses in mathematics, CRM Barcelona, Birkhäuser, Basel – Boston – Berlin, 2009, pp. 87–210.
14. T. Tao and V. H. Vu, *Additive combinatorics*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.

Alfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, Budapest, Pf. 127, H-1364 Hungary
E-mail address: ruzsa@renyi.hu